

PARTIAL CROSSED PRODUCT DESCRIPTION OF THE C^* -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH INTEGRAL DOMAINS

GIULIANO BOAVA¹ AND RUY EXEL²

ABSTRACT. Recently, Cuntz and Li introduced the C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ associated to an integral domain R with finite quotients. In this paper, we show that $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is a partial group algebra of the group $K \rtimes K^\times$ with suitable relations, where K is the field of fractions of R . We identify the spectrum of this relations and we show that it is homeomorphic to the profinite completion of R . By using partial crossed product theory, we reconstruct some results proved by Cuntz and Li. Among them, we prove that $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is simple by showing that the action is topologically free and minimal.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fifteen years ago, motivated by the work of Julia [14], Bost and Connes constructed a C^* -dynamical system having the Riemann ζ -function as partition function [2]. The C^* -algebra of the Bost-Connes system, denoted by $C_{\mathbb{Q}}$, is a Hecke C^* -algebra obtained from the inclusion of the integers into the rational numbers. In [19], Laca and Raeburn showed that $C_{\mathbb{Q}}$ can be realized as a semigroup crossed product and, in [20], they characterized the primitive ideal space of $C_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

In [1], [4] and [15], by observing that the construction of $C_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is based on the inclusion of the integers into the rational numbers, Arledge, Cohen, Laca and Raeburn generalized the construction of Bost and Connes. They replaced the field \mathbb{Q} by an algebraic number field K and \mathbb{Z} by the ring of integers of K . Many of the results obtained for $C_{\mathbb{Q}}$ were generalized to arbitrary algebraic number fields (at least when the ideal class group of the field is $h = 1$) [16], [17].

Recently, a new construction appeared. In [5], Cuntz defined two new C^* -algebras: $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Both algebras are simple and purely infinite and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ can be seen as a C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. These algebras encode the additive and multiplicative structure of the semiring \mathbb{N} and of the ring \mathbb{Z} . Cuntz showed that the algebra $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is, essentially, the algebra generated by $C_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and one unitary operator. In [25], Yamashita realized $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ as the C^* -algebra of a topological higher-rank graph.

The next step was given by Cuntz and Li. In [6], they generalized the construction of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by replacing \mathbb{Z} by a unital commutative ring R (which is an integral domain with finite quotients by principal ideals). This algebra was called $\mathfrak{A}[R]$. Cuntz and Li showed that $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is simple and purely infinite (when R is not a field) and they related a C^* -subalgebra of its with the generalized Bost-Connes systems (when R is the ring of integers in an algebraic number field having $h = 1$ and, at most, one real place). In [23], Li extended the construction of $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ to an arbitrary unital ring.

Date: 05 October 2010.

¹Research supported by CNPq, Brazil.

²Research partially supported by CNPq, Brazil.

The aim of this text is to show that the algebra $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ can be seen as a partial crossed product (when R is an integral domain with finite quotients). We show that $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is isomorphic to a partial group algebra of the group $K \rtimes K^\times$ with suitable relations, where K is the field of fractions of R . By using the relationship between partial group algebras and partial crossed products, we see that $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is a partial crossed product by the group $K \rtimes K^\times$. We characterize the spectrum of the commutative algebra arising in the crossed product and show that this spectrum is homeomorphic to \hat{R} (the profinite completion of R). Furthermore, we show that the partial action is topologically free and minimal. By using that the group $K \rtimes K^\times$ is amenable, we conclude that $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is simple.

Recently, some similar results appeared. In [21] and [3], Brownlowe, an Huef, Laca and Raeburn showed that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is a partial crossed product by using a boundary quotient of the Toeplitz (or Wiener-Hopf) algebra of the quasi-lattice ordered group $(\mathbb{Q} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}_+^\times, \mathbb{N} \rtimes \mathbb{N}^\times)$ (see [24] and [18] for Toeplitz algebras of quasi-lattice ordered groups). We observe that our techniques are different from theirs. We don't use Nica's construction [24] (indeed, our group $K \rtimes K^\times$ is not a quasi-lattice, in general). From our results, in the case $R = \mathbb{Z}$, we see that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a partial crossed product by the group $\mathbb{Q} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}^\times$. From this, it's immediate that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is a partial crossed product by $\mathbb{Q} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}_+^\times$ (as in [3]).

Before we go to the main result we give, in the section 2, a briefly review about the algebra $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ and the theories of partial crossed products and partial group algebras. In the section 3, we state our main theorem: the algebra $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is isomorphic to a partial group algebra. In the section 4, we study $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ by using the techniques of partial crossed products. We recover the faithful conditional expectation constructed by Cuntz and Li in [6, Proposition 1] in a very natural way. Furthermore, we use the concepts of topological freeness and minimality of a partial action to show that $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is simple.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ of an Integral Domain. Throughout this text, R will be an integral domain (unital commutative ring without zero divisors) with the property that the quotient $R/(m)$ is finite, for all $m \neq 0$ in R . We denote by R^\times the set $R \setminus \{0\}$ and by R^* the set of units in R .

Definition 2.1. [6, Definition 1] The **regular C^* -algebra of R** , denoted by $\mathfrak{A}[R]$, is the universal C^* -algebra generated by isometries $\{s_m \mid m \in R^\times\}$ and unitaries $\{u^n \mid n \in R\}$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(CL1)} \quad & s_m s_{m'} = s_{mm'}; \\ \text{(CL2)} \quad & u^n u^{n'} = u^{n+n'}; \\ \text{(CL3)} \quad & s_m u^n = u^{mn} s_m; \\ \text{(CL4)} \quad & \sum_{l+(m) \in R/(m)} u^l s_m s_m^* u^{-l} = 1; \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, m' \in R^\times$ and $n, n' \in R$.

We denote by e_m the range projection of s_m , namely $e_m = s_m s_m^*$. It's easily seen that, under (CL2) and (CL3), $u^l e_m u^{-l} = u^{l'} e_m u^{-l'}$ if $l + (m) = l' + (m)$. From this, we see that the sum in (CL4) is independent of the choice of l .

Let $\{\xi_r \mid r \in R\}$ be the canonical basis of the Hilbert space $\ell^2(R)$ and consider the operators S_m and U^n on $\ell^2(R)$ given by $S_m(\xi_r) = \xi_{mr}$ and $U^n(\xi_r) = \xi_{n+r}$.

Definition 2.2. [6, Section 2] The **reduced regular C^* -algebra of R** , denoted by $\mathfrak{A}_r[R]$, is the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(R))$ generated by the operators $\{S_m \mid m \in R^\times\}$ and $\{U^n \mid n \in R\}$.

One can check that S_m is an isometry, U^n is a unitary and satisfy (CL1)-(CL4). Hence, there exists a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\mathfrak{A}[R] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_r[R]$.

In [6], Cuntz and Li showed that, when R is not a field, $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is simple; therefore the above $*$ -homomorphism is a $*$ -isomorphism. In the section 4, we will show that $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is simple (when R is not a field) by using the partial crossed product description of $\mathfrak{A}[R]$.

For future references, we need the following lemma, proved by Cuntz and Li:

Lemma 2.3. [6, Lemma 1] *For all $n, n' \in R$ and $m, m' \in R^\times$, the projections (in $\mathfrak{A}[R]$) $u^n e_m u^{-n}$ and $u^{n'} e_{m'} u^{-n'}$ commute.*

More details about these algebras can be found in [5], [6], [7], [8], [22], [23] and [25].

2.2. Partial Crossed Products. Here, we review some basic facts about partial actions and partial crossed products.

Definition 2.4. [9, Definition 1.1] A **partial action** α of a (discrete) group G on a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is a collection $(\mathcal{D}_g)_{g \in G}$ of ideals of \mathcal{A} and $*$ -isomorphisms $\alpha_g : \mathcal{D}_{g^{-1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_g$ such that

- (PA1) $\mathcal{D}_e = \mathcal{A}$, where e represents the identity element of G ;
- (PA2) $\alpha_h^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_h \cap \mathcal{D}_{g^{-1}}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{(gh)^{-1}}$;
- (PA3) $\alpha_g \circ \alpha_h(x) = \alpha_{gh}(x)$, $\forall x \in \alpha_h^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_h \cap \mathcal{D}_{g^{-1}})$.

In the above definition, if we replace the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} by a locally compact space X , the ideals \mathcal{D}_g by open sets X_g and the $*$ -isomorphisms α_g by homeomorphisms $\theta_g : X_{g^{-1}} \longrightarrow X_g$, we obtain a **partial action** θ of the group G on the space X . A partial action θ on a space X induces naturally a partial action α on the C^* -algebra $C_0(X)$. The ideals \mathcal{D}_g are $C_0(X_g)$ and $\alpha_g(f) = f \circ \theta_{g^{-1}}$.

We say that a partial action θ on a space X is **topologically free** if, for all $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$, the set $F_g = \{x \in X_{g^{-1}} \mid \theta_g(x) = x\}$ has empty interior. A subset V of X is **invariant** under the partial action θ if $\theta_g(V \cap X_{g^{-1}}) \subseteq V$, for every $g \in G$. The partial action θ is **minimal** if there are no invariant open subsets of X other than \emptyset and X . It's easy to see that θ is minimal if, and only if, every $x \in X$ has dense orbit, namely $\mathcal{O}_x = \{\theta_g(x) \mid g \in G \text{ for which } x \in X_{g^{-1}}\}$ is dense in X .

Definition 2.5. [9, Definition 6.1] A **partial representation** π of a (discrete) group G into a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} is a map $\pi : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that, for all $g, h \in G$,

- (PR1) $\pi(e) = 1$;
- (PR2) $\pi(g^{-1}) = \pi(g)^*$;
- (PR3) $\pi(g)\pi(h)\pi(h^{-1}) = \pi(gh)\pi(h^{-1})$.

From a partial action α , we can construct two **partial crossed products**: $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_\alpha G$ (full) and $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\alpha,r} G$ (reduced). We can define both as follows: let \mathcal{L} be the normed $*$ -algebra of the finite formal sums $\sum_{g \in G} a_g \delta_g$, where $a_g \in \mathcal{D}_g$. The operations and the

norm in \mathcal{L} are given by $(a_g \delta_g)(a_h \delta_h) = \alpha_g(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(a_g) a_h) \delta_{gh}$, $(a_g \delta_g)^* = \alpha_{g^{-1}}(a_g^*) \delta_{g^{-1}}$ and $\|\sum_{g \in G} a_g \delta_g\| = \sum_{g \in G} \|a_g\|$. If we denote by B_g the vector subspace $\mathcal{D}_g \delta_g$ of \mathcal{L} , then the family $(B_g)_{g \in G}$ generates a Fell bundle. The full and the reduced crossed products are, respectively, the full and the reduced cross sectional algebra of $(B_g)_{g \in G}$. It's well known that $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ is universal with respect to a covariant pair (φ, π) , where $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a $*$ -homomorphism (\mathcal{B} is a unital C^* -algebra), $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a partial representation of G and the covariant equations are $\varphi(\alpha_g(x)) = \pi(g)\varphi(x)\pi(g^{-1})$ for $x \in \mathcal{D}_{g^{-1}}$ and $\varphi(x)\pi(g)\pi(g^{-1}) = \pi(g)\pi(g^{-1})\varphi(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

There exists a faithful conditional expectation $E : \mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ given by $E(a \delta_g) = a$ if $g = e$, and $E(a \delta_g) = 0$ if $g \neq e$. When the Fell bundle $(B_g)_{g \in G}$ is amenable (G amenable implies its), the full and reduced constructions are isomorphic and, in this case, there exists a faithful conditional expectation of $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ onto \mathcal{A} .

There is a close relation between topological freeness and minimality of the partial action and ideals of the reduced crossed product. If θ is a topologically free partial action on a space X then θ is minimal if, and only if, $C_0(X) \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ is simple, where α is the action induced by θ . Under the amenability hypothesis, this result is valid for the full crossed product too.

For more details about partial crossed products, see [9], [10], [11], [12] and [13].

2.3. Partial Group Algebras. Let G be a discrete group, let \mathcal{G} be the set G without the group operations and denote the elements in \mathcal{G} by $[g]$ (namely, $\mathcal{G} = \{[g] \mid g \in G\}$). The **partial group algebra** of G , denoted by $C_p^*(G)$, is defined to be the universal C^* -algebra generated by the set \mathcal{G} with the relations

$$\mathcal{R}_p = \{[e] = 1\} \cup \{[g^{-1}] = [g]^*\}_{g \in G} \cup \{[g][h][h^{-1}] = [gh][h^{-1}]\}_{g, h \in G}.$$

The algebra $C_p^*(G)$ is universal with respect to a partial representation. Observe that the relations in \mathcal{R}_p correspond to the partial representation axioms (PR1), (PR2) and (PR3). Sometimes, we will refer to a relation in \mathcal{R}_p by indicating the corresponding axiom.

Consider the natural bijection between $\mathcal{P}(G)$ and $\{0, 1\}^G$, where $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is the power set of G . With the product topology, $\{0, 1\}^G$ is a compact Hausdorff space. Give to $\mathcal{P}(G)$ the topology of $\{0, 1\}^G$. Denote by X_G the subset of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ of the subsets ξ of G such that $e \in \xi$. Clearly, with the induced topology of $\mathcal{P}(G)$, X_G is a compact space. For each $g \in G$, let $X_g = \{\xi \in X_G \mid g \in \xi\}$. It's easy to see that $\theta_g : X_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X_g$ given by $\theta_g(\xi) = g\xi$ is a homeomorphism. The collection of open sets $(X_g)_{g \in G}$ of X_G with the homeomorphisms θ_g define a partial action θ of G on X_G . The partial crossed product $C(X_G) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ is isomorphic to $C_p^*(G)$ (where α is the partial action induced by θ).

For each $g \in G$, we abbreviate $[g][g^{-1}]$ by e_g . Let \mathcal{R} be a set of relations on \mathcal{G} such that every relation is of the form

$$\sum_i \lambda_i \prod_j e_{g_{ij}} = 0.$$

The **partial group algebra of G with relations \mathcal{R}** , denoted by $C_p^*(G, \mathcal{R})$, is defined to be the universal C^* -algebra generated by the set \mathcal{G} with the relations $\mathcal{R}_p \cup \mathcal{R}$. Given a partial representation π of G , we can extend π naturally to sums of products of elements in \mathcal{G} . If this extension satisfies the relations \mathcal{R} , we say that π is a **partial**

representation that satisfies \mathcal{R} . The algebra $C_p^*(G, \mathcal{R})$ is universal with respect to a partial representation that satisfies the relations \mathcal{R} .

Denote by 1_g the function in $C(X_G)$ given by $1_g(\xi) = 1$ if $g \in \xi$ and $1_g(\xi) = 0$ otherwise. By an abuse of notation, we also denote by \mathcal{R} the subset of $C(X_G)$ given by the functions $\sum_i \lambda_i \prod_j 1_{g_{ij}}$, where $\sum_i \lambda_i \prod_j e_{g_{ij}} = 0$ is a relation in (the original) \mathcal{R} . The **spectrum of the relations \mathcal{R}** is defined to be the compact Hausdorff space

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{R}} = \{\xi \in X_G \mid f(g^{-1}\xi) = 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{R}, \forall g \in \xi\}.$$

Let $\Omega_g = \{\xi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{R}} \mid g \in \xi\}$. By restricting the above θ_g to $\Omega_{g^{-1}}$, we obtain a partial action (again denoted by θ) of G on $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}$ (the open sets are the Ω_g 's and the homeomorphisms are the restrictions of the θ_g 's). The main result concerning $C_p^*(G, \mathcal{R})$ says that this algebra is isomorphic to the partial crossed product $C(\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ (again, α is the partial action induced by θ).

The above results are proved in [12] and [13].

3. PARTIAL GROUP ALGEBRA DESCRIPTION OF $\mathfrak{A}[R]$

Let R be an integral domain satisfying the conditions stated in the previous section. Denote by K the field of fractions of R and consider the semidirect product $K \rtimes K^{\times}$. The elements of $K \rtimes K^{\times}$ will be denoted by a pair (u, w) , where $u \in K$ and $w \in K^{\times}$. Recall that $(u, w)(u', w') = (u + u'w, ww')$ and $(u, w)^{-1} = (-u/w, 1/w)$. We denote by $[u, w]$ an element of set $K \rtimes K^{\times}$ without the group operations (as the set \mathcal{G} associated to G in the previous section).¹ Again, denote $[g][g^{-1}]$ by e_g . Consider the sets of relations

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \{e_{(n,1)} = 1 \mid n \in R\}, \quad \mathcal{R}_2 = \left\{e_{(0, \frac{1}{m})} = 1 \mid m \in R^{\times}\right\},$$

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = \left\{ \sum_{n+(m) \in R/(m)} e_{(n,m)} = 1 \mid m \in R^{\times} \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2 \cup \mathcal{R}_3$. We observe that, under the relations \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_p (relations stated in the previous section), the sum in \mathcal{R}_3 depends of the choice of n . Indeed, for $k \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{(n+km, m)} &= [n + km, m][(n + km, m)^{-1}] \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_1}{=} [(n, m)(k, 1)]e_{(-k, 1)}[(k, 1)^{-1}(n, m)^{-1}] = \\ &[(n, m)(k, 1)][(k, 1)^{-1}][k, 1][(k, 1)^{-1}(n, m)^{-1}] \stackrel{(\text{PR3})}{=} \\ &[n, m][k, 1][(k, 1)^{-1}][k, 1][(k, 1)^{-1}][(n, m)^{-1}] = [n, m]e_{(k, 1)}e_{(k, 1)}[(n, m)^{-1}] = e_{(n, m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. The relations in \mathcal{R}_1 are unnecessary. They can be obtained from \mathcal{R}_3 with $m = 1$.

Consider the partial group algebra $C_p^*(K \rtimes K^{\times}, \mathcal{R})$. We will show that this algebra is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}[R]$.

Proposition 3.2. *There exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{A}[R] \longrightarrow C_p^*(K \rtimes K^{\times}, \mathcal{R})$ such that $\Psi(u^n) = [n, 1]$ and $\Psi(s_m) = [0, m]$.*

¹Sometimes, we work with the element $(u, w)^{-1}$ or the element $(u_1, w_1)(u_2, w_2)$. For these elements, our corresponding notations will be $[(u, w)^{-1}]$ and $[(u_1, w_1)(u_2, w_2)]$.

Proof. We need to show that $[n, 1]$ is a unitary (for $n \in R$), that $[0, m]$ is an isometry (for $m \in R^\times$) and that the relations (CL1)-(CL4) are satisfied. From \mathcal{R}_1 and (PR2), we have $[n, 1][n, 1]^* = e_{(n,1)} = 1$ and $[n, 1]^*[n, 1] = e_{(-n,1)} = 1$, ie, $[n, 1]$ is a unitary. Similarly, from \mathcal{R}_2 and (PR2) we see that $[0, m]$ is an isometry. By using this fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(s_m s_{m'}) &= [0, m][0, m'] = [0, m][0, m'][0, m']^*[0, m'] \stackrel{(PR3)}{=} \\ &[0, mm'][0, m']^*[0, m'] = [0, mm'] = \Psi(s_{mm'}),\end{aligned}$$

hence (CL1) is satisfied. We can prove (CL2) in the same way. To show (CL3), note that

$$\Psi(s_m u^n) = [0, m][n, 1] = [0, m][n, 1][n, 1]^*[n, 1] \stackrel{(PR3)}{=} [mn, m][n, 1]^*[n, 1] = [mn, m],$$

because $[n, 1]$ is a unitary. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(u^{mn} s_m) &= [mn, 1][0, m] = [mn, 1][mn, 1]^*[mn, 1][0, m] \stackrel{(PR3)}{=} \\ &[mn, 1][mn, 1]^*[mn, m] = [mn, m].\end{aligned}$$

Finally, (CL4) follows from \mathcal{R}_3 and²

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(u^n e_m u^{-n}) &= [n, 1][0, m][0, m]^*[-n, 1] = [n, m][0, 1/m][-n, 1][-n, 1]^*[-n, 1] \stackrel{(PR3)}{=} \\ &[n, m][(n, m)^{-1}][-n, 1]^*[-n, 1] = [n, m][(n, m)^{-1}] = e_{(n,m)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we will construct an inverse for Ψ . In the next claim, note that every element in $K \rtimes K^\times$ can be written under the form $(\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'})$, where $n \in R$ and $m, m' \in R^\times$.

Claim 3.3. *The map $\pi : K \rtimes K^\times \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}[R]$ given by $\pi((\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'})) = s_{m'}^* u^n s_m$ is independent of the representation of $(\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'})$.*

Proof. Let $(\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'}) = (\frac{q}{p'}, \frac{p}{p'})$, ie, $pm' = p'm$ and $m'q = p'n$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}s_{p'}^* u^q s_p &= s_{p'}^* s_{m'}^* s_{m'} u^q s_p \stackrel{(CL3)}{=} s_{p'}^* s_{m'}^* u^{m'q} s_{m'} s_p \stackrel{(CL1)}{=} s_{p'm'}^* u^{m'q} s_{m'p} \stackrel{(CL1)}{=} \\ &s_{m'}^* s_{p'}^* u^{np'} s_{p'} s_m \stackrel{(CL3)}{=} s_{m'}^* s_{p'}^* s_{p'} u^n s_m = s_{m'}^* u^n s_m.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.4. *The map π defined above is a partial representation of $K \rtimes K^\times$ that satisfies \mathcal{R} .*

Proof. First, we will show that π is a partial representation. Since $\pi((0, 1)) = s_1^* u^0 s_1 = 1$, we have (PR1). Observe that

$$\pi\left(\left(\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'}\right)^{-1}\right) = \pi\left(\left(\frac{-n}{m}, \frac{m'}{m}\right)\right) = s_m^* u^{-n} s_{m'} = \pi\left(\left(\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'}\right)\right)^*,$$

²Be careful with the e 's! The notation e_m represents $s_m s_m^*$ in $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ and $e_{(n,m)}$ represents $[n, m][n, m]^*$ in $C_p^*(K \rtimes K^\times, \mathcal{R})$.

which shows (PR2). To see (PR3), let $g = \left(\frac{q}{p'}, \frac{p}{p'}\right)$ and $h = \left(\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'}\right)$. We have $gh = \left(\frac{m'q+pn}{p'm'}, \frac{pm}{p'm'}\right)$ and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(gh)\pi(h^{-1}) &= \pi(gh)\pi(h)^* = (s_{p'm'}^* u^{m'q+pn} s_{pm}) (s_m^* u^{-n} s_{m'}) \stackrel{(\text{CL1}), (\text{CL2}), (\text{CL3})}{=} \\ &= s_{p'}^* u^q s_{m'}^* s_p u^n s_m s_m^* u^{-n} s_{m'} = s_{p'}^* u^q s_{m'}^* s_p \underbrace{u^n s_m s_m^* u^{-n}}_{\text{Lemma 2.3}} s_{m'}^* s_{m'} s_{m'} \stackrel{\text{Lemma 2.3}}{=} \end{aligned}$$

$$s_{p'}^* u^q s_{m'}^* s_p s_{m'} s_{m'}^* u^n s_m s_m^* u^{-n} s_{m'} \stackrel{(\text{CL1})}{=} (s_{p'}^* u^q s_p) (s_{m'}^* u^n s_m) (s_m^* u^{-n} s_{m'}) = \pi(g)\pi(h)\pi(h^{-1}).$$

This shows that π is a partial representation. It remains to show that the extension of π satisfies the relations in \mathcal{R} . By remark 3.1, it suffices to show that the relations in \mathcal{R}_2 and \mathcal{R}_3 are satisfied. It follows from

$$\pi(e_{(0,1/m)}) = \pi([0, 1/m][0, m]) = s_m^* u^0 s_1 s_1^* u^0 s_m = 1$$

and

$$\pi \left(\sum_{n+(m) \in R/(m)} e_{(n,m)} \right) = \sum_{n+(m) \in R/(m)} s_1^* u^n s_m s_m^* u^{-n} s_1 = 1.$$

□

Remark 3.5. We can define π for a general representation of a element in $K \rtimes K^\times$ by $\pi \left(\left(\frac{n}{m''}, \frac{m}{m'} \right) \right) = s_{m''}^* u^n s_{m'}^* s_{m''} s_m$.

Theorem 3.6. *The $*$ -homomorphism Ψ defined above is a $*$ -isomorphism. Its inverse $\Phi : C_p^*(K \rtimes K^\times, \mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}[R]$ is given by $\Phi \left(\left[\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'} \right] \right) = s_{m'}^* u^n s_m$.*

Proof. The existence of Φ follows from π and the universal property of $C_p^*(K \rtimes K^\times, \mathcal{R})$. It remains to show that Ψ and Φ are inverses each other. Indeed, $\Phi(\Psi(u^n)) = \Phi([n, 1]) = s_1^* u^n s_1 = u^n$, $\Phi(\Psi(s_m)) = \Phi([0, m]) = s_1^* u^0 s_m = s_m$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \left(\Phi \left(\left[\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'} \right] \right) \right) &= \Psi(s_{m'}^* u^n s_m) = [0, 1/m'] [n, 1] [0, m] = \\ &= [0, 1/m'] [0, 1/m']^* [0, 1/m'] [n, 1] [n, 1]^* [n, 1] [0, m] = \left[\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. PARTIAL CROSSED PRODUCT DESCRIPTION OF $\mathfrak{A}[R]$

Before characterizing $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ as a partial crossed product, note that the group $K \rtimes K^\times$ is solvable and, hence, amenable. Therefore, there exists a faithful conditional expectation (imported from the partial crossed product realization) $E : C_p^*(K \rtimes K^\times, \mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow C^*(\{e_g\}_{g \in K \rtimes K^\times})$ given by

$$E([g_1][g_2] \cdots [g_k]) = \delta_{g_1 g_2 \cdots g_k, e} [g_1][g_2] \cdots [g_k].$$

In [6, Proposition 1], Cuntz and Li constructed a faithful conditional expectation Θ on $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ given by $\Theta(s_{m''}^* u^n s_m s_m^* u^{-n'} s_{m'}) = \delta_{m', m''} \delta_{n, n'} s_{m'}^* u^n s_m s_m^* u^{-n} s_{m'}$. The next proposition shows that, under the $*$ -isomorphism Ψ , E and Θ are the same conditional expectation.

Proposition 4.1. $E \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ \Theta$.

Proof. First of all, observe that $\left(\frac{n}{m''}, \frac{m}{m''}\right) \left(\frac{-n'}{m}, \frac{m'}{m}\right) = (0, 1)$ if, and only if, $m' = m''$ and $n = n'$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} E \circ \Psi(s_{m''}^* u^n s_m s_m^* u^{-n'} s_{m'}) &= E \left(\left[\frac{n}{m''}, \frac{m}{m''} \right] \left[\frac{-n'}{m}, \frac{m'}{m} \right] \right) = \\ &\delta_{m', m''} \delta_{n, n'} \left[\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'} \right] \left[\frac{-n}{m}, \frac{m'}{m} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \circ \Theta(s_{m''}^* u^n s_m s_m^* u^{-n'} s_{m'}) &= \Psi(\delta_{m', m''} \delta_{n, n'} s_{m'}^* u^n s_m s_m^* u^{-n} s_{m'}) = \\ &\delta_{m', m''} \delta_{n, n'} \left[\frac{n}{m'}, \frac{m}{m'} \right] \left[\frac{-n}{m}, \frac{m'}{m} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

We already know that $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is a partial crossed product. Indeed, every partial group algebra is a partial crossed product (see section 2.3). From now on, our goal is to study $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ by this way.

There exists a natural partial order on R^\times given by the divisibility: we say that $m \leq m'$ if there exists $r \in R$ such that $m' = mr$. Whenever $m \leq m'$, we can consider the canonical projection $p_{m, m'} : R/(m') \rightarrow R/(m)$. Since (R^\times, \leq) is a directed set, we can consider the inverse limit

$$\hat{R} = \varprojlim \{R/(m), p_{m, m'}\},$$

which is the **profinite completion** of R . In this text, we shall use the following concrete description of \hat{R} :

$$\hat{R} = \left\{ (r_m + (m))_m \in \prod_{m \in R^\times} R/(m) \mid p_{m, m'}(r_{m'} + (m')) = r_m + (m), \text{ if } m \leq m' \right\}.$$

Give to $R/(m)$ the discrete topology, to $\prod_{m \in R^\times} R/(m)$ the product topology and to \hat{R} the induced topology of $\prod_{m \in R^\times} R/(m)$. With the operations defined componentwise, \hat{R} is a compact topological ring. There exists a canonical inclusion of R into \hat{R} given by $r \mapsto (r + (m))_m$ (to see injectivity, take $r \neq 0$, m non-invertible and note that $r \notin (rm)$).

The above partial order can be extended to K^\times . For $w, w' \in K^\times$, we say that $w \leq w'$ if there exists $r \in R$ such that $w' = wr$. Denote by (w) the fractional ideal generated by w , namely $(w) = wR \subseteq K$. As before, if $w \leq w'$, we can consider the canonical projection³ $p_{w, w'} : (R + (w'))/(w') \rightarrow (R + (w))/(w)$. As before, we consider the inverse limit

$$\hat{R}_K = \varprojlim \{(R + (w))/(w), p_{w, w'}\} \cong$$

$$\left\{ (u_w + (w))_w \in \prod_{w \in K^\times} (R + (w))/(w) \mid p_{w, w'}(u_{w'} + (w')) = u_w + (w), \text{ if } w \leq w' \right\}.$$

³By the second isomorphism theorem, it could be $p_{w, w'} : R/(R \cap (w')) \rightarrow R/(R \cap (w))$.

It is a compact topological ring too. In fact, \hat{R}_K is naturally isomorphic to \hat{R} as topological ring. In this text, we use \hat{R}_K instead of \hat{R} to simplify our proofs.

It's easy to see that, when R is a field, then $\hat{R} \cong \hat{R}_K \cong \{0\}$.

Let Ω be the spectrum of the relations \mathcal{R} (see section 2.3). We will show that Ω is homeomorphic to \hat{R}_K (hence, homeomorphic to \hat{R}). Define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : \hat{R}_K &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(K \rtimes K^\times) \\ (u_w + (w))_w &\longmapsto \{(u_w + rw, w) \mid w \in K^\times, r \in R\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the definition is independent of the choice of u_w in $u_w + (w)$.

Claim 4.2. $\rho(\hat{R}_K) \subseteq \Omega$.

Proof. Let $(u_w + (w))_w \in \hat{R}_K$. By the definition of \hat{R}_K , if $w \leq w'$, then $u_{w'} = u_w + kw$ for some $k \in R$. Denote $\rho((u_w + (w)))$ by ξ . Clearly, $(0, 1) \in \xi$. We need to show that $f(g^{-1}\xi) = 0$, for all $f \in \mathcal{R}$ and $g \in \xi$. Fix $g = (u_w + rw, w) \in \xi$. Let $f = 1_{(n,1)} - 1$ in \mathcal{R}_1 and note that $f(g^{-1}\xi) = 0$ is equivalent to $g(n, 1) \in \xi$. Since $g(n, 1) = (u_w + rw, w)(n, 1) = (u_w + (r+n)w, w)$, we have $g(n, 1) \in \xi$. Now, let $f = 1_{(0,1/m)} - 1$ in \mathcal{R}_2 . Similarly, we must show that $g(0, 1/m) \in \xi$. Observe that $g(0, 1/m) = (u_w + rw, w)(0, 1/m) = (u_w + rw, w/m)$. Since $w/m \leq w$, then $g(0, 1/m) = (u_{w/m} + k(w/m) + rw, w/m) = (u_{w/m} + (k+rm)(w/m)) \in \xi$. To finish, fix $m \in R^\times$ and let $f = \sum_{n+(m)} 1_{(n,m)} - 1$ in \mathcal{R}_3 . We must show that there exists one, and only one class $n + (m)$ such that $g(n, m) \in \xi$. Indeed, $g(n, m) = (u_w + rw, w)(n, m) = (u_w + (n+r)w, wm) = (u_{wm} + (n+r-k)w, wm)$ and, for it belongs to ξ , we must have $(n+r-k)w \in (wm)$. Hence, $n \equiv k-r \pmod{m}$, in other words, there exists only one class $n + (m)$ such that $g(n, m) \in \xi$. Since $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2 \cup \mathcal{R}_3$, the proof is completed. \square

Proposition 4.3. $\rho : \hat{R}_K \longrightarrow \Omega$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof.

Injectivity. Let $(u_w + (w))_w, (v_w + (w))_w \in \hat{R}_K$ such that $\rho((u_w + (w))) = \rho((v_w + (w)))$. By the definition of ρ , the elements in $\rho((u_w + (w)))$ whose second component equals w are of the form $(u_w + rw, w)$. Since $(v_w, w) \in \rho((v_w + (w)))$ and, therefore, $(v_w, w) \in \rho((u_w + (w)))$, we must have $v_w = u_w + rw$ for some $r \in R$. This show that $(u_w + (w))_w = (v_w + (w))_w$.

Surjectivity. Let $\xi \in \Omega$. The relations in \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 together implies that if $g \in \xi$, then $g(q/p, 1/p) \in \xi$ for all $q \in R$ and $p \in R^\times$ (fix g and apply $f(g^{-1}\xi) = 0$ for various f). For each $m \in R^\times$, let $f = \sum_{n+(m)} 1_{(n,m)} - 1$ in \mathcal{R}_3 and apply $f(g^{-1}\xi) = 0$ with $g = (0, 1)$ to see that there exists only one class $n + (m)$ such that $(n, m) \in \xi$. Denote this class by $u_m + (m)$. Since $g(0, 1/p) \in \xi$ if $g \in \xi$, then $p_{m,mp}(u_{mp} + (mp)) = (u_m + (m))$. From this, we can define unambiguously $u_w + (w) = u_m + (w)$ for $w = m/m' \in K^\times$. One can see that the classes $u_w + (w)$ are compatible with the projections $p_{w,w'}$ by using that $g(q/p, 1/p) \in \xi$ if $g \in \xi$. Hence, we have constructed $(u_w + (w))_w \in \hat{R}_K$. We claim that $\rho((u_w + (w))) = \xi$. Since $(u_w, w) \in \xi$, $(u_w, w)(q, 1) = (u_w + qw, w)$ must belongs to ξ . This shows that $\rho((u_w + (w))) \subseteq \xi$. Suppose, by contradiction, $\rho((u_w + (w))) \neq \xi$. Hence, there exists $h \in \xi$ such that $h \notin \rho((u_w + (w)))$. If we write $h = (n'/m', m/m')$, then $h \notin \rho((u_w + (w)))$ is equivalent to $n' - m'u_m \notin (m)$. Let

$g = (u_m, 1/m')$, $h' = (u_m, m/m')$ and note that both belong to $\rho((u_w + (w)))$ (hence, belong to ξ). Since $g^{-1}h = (-m'u_m, m')(n'/m', m/m') = (n' - m'u_m, m)$, $g^{-1}h' = (0, m)$ and $n' - m'u_m \notin (m)$, then $f(g^{-1}\xi) \neq 0$ if $f = \sum_{n+(m)} 1_{(n,m)} - 1$, which contradicts the fact that $\xi \in \Omega$. Hence, $\rho((u_w + (w))) = \xi$.

To finish the proof, observe that \hat{R}_K and Ω are compact Hausdorff, therefore it suffices to show that ρ (or ρ^{-1}) is continuous to conclude that ρ is a homeomorphism. We will prove that ρ^{-1} is continuous by showing that $\pi_w \circ \rho^{-1}$ is continuous for all $w \in K^\times$, where $\pi_w : \hat{R}_K \rightarrow (R + (w))/(w)$ is the canonical projection. Since $(R + (w))/(w)$ is discrete, it suffices to show that $\rho \circ \pi_w^{-1}(\{u_w + (w)\})$ is an open set of Ω , for all $u_w + (w) \in (R + (w))/(w)$. To see this, note that

$$\rho \circ \pi_w^{-1}(\{u_w + (w)\}) = \{\xi \in \Omega \mid (u_w, w) \in \xi\},$$

which is an open set of Ω (recall that the topology on Ω is induced by the product topology of $\{0, 1\}^{K \rtimes K^\times}$). \square

Following the section 2.3, there exists a partial action of $K \rtimes K^\times$ on Ω . By the above proposition, we can define this partial action on \hat{R}_K . Let $\hat{R}_g = \rho^{-1}(\Omega_g)$, where $\Omega_g = \{\xi \in \Omega \mid g \in \xi\}$, and θ_g be the homeomorphism between $\hat{R}_{g^{-1}}$ and \hat{R}_g . It's easy to see that

$$\hat{R}_{(u,w)} = \{(u_{w'} + (w'))_{w'} \in \hat{R}_K \mid u_w + (w) = u + (w)\}$$

and

$$\theta_{(u,w)}((u_{w'} + (w'))_{w'}) = (u + wu_{w'} + (ww'))_{ww'} = (u + wu_{w^{-1}w'} + (w'))_{w'},$$

ie, $\theta_{(u,w)}$ acts on $\hat{R}_{(u,w)^{-1}}$ by the affine transformation corresponding to (u, w) . The next proposition, whose proof is trivial, will be useful later.

Proposition 4.4. *We have that*

- (i) $\hat{R}_{(u,w)} = \emptyset \iff u \notin R + (w)$;
- (ii) $\hat{R}_{(u,w)} = \hat{R}_K \iff R \subseteq u + (w)$.

Now, we describe the topology on \hat{R}_K . Since \hat{R}_K is a singleton set when R is a field, we shall assume that R is not a field in this paragraph. For $w \in K^\times$ and $C_w \subseteq (R + (w))/(w)$, we define the open set

$$V_w^{C_w} = \{(u_{w'} + (w'))_{w'} \in \hat{R}_K \mid u_w + (w) \in C_w\}.$$

Clearly, if $w \leq w'$, then $V_w^{C_w} = V_{w'}^{C_{w'}}$, where $C_{w'} = \{u + (w') \in (R + (w'))/(w') \mid u + (w) \in C_w\}$. From the product topology, we know that the finite intersections of open sets $V_w^{C_w}$ form a basis for the topology on \hat{R}_K . By taking a common multiple of the w 's in the intersection, we see that every basic open set is of the form $V_w^{C_w}$ (since $V_w^{C_1} \cap V_w^{C_2} = V_w^{C_1 \cap C_2}$). Furthermore, if $C_w \neq \emptyset$, r is a non-invertible element in R and $V_w^{C_w} = V_{wr}^{C_{wr}}$, then C_{wr} has, at least, two elements. Indeed, let $u + (w) \in C_w$ and $r_1, r_2 \in R$ such that $r_1 + (r) \neq r_2 + (r)$. It's easy to see that $u + wr_1 + (wr)$ and $u + wr_2 + (wr)$ are in C_{wr} and that $u + wr_1 + (wr) \neq u + wr_2 + (wr)$. This says that, if $V_w^{C_w}$ is non-empty, we can suppose that C_w has more than one element.

Proposition 4.5. *The partial action θ on \hat{R}_K is topologically free if, and only if, R is not a field.*

Proof. If R is a field, then $\hat{R}_K = \{0\}$ and, hence, θ is not topologically free. Conversely, suppose that R is not a field. We need to show that $F_g = \{x \in \hat{R}_{g^{-1}} \mid \theta_g(x) = x\}$ has empty interior, for all $g \in K \rtimes K^\times \setminus \{(0, 1)\}$. We shall consider two cases: $g = (u, 1)$ and $g = (u, w)$, $w \neq 1$.

Case 1. If $u \notin R$, then the proposition 4.4 says that $\hat{R}_{g^{-1}} = \emptyset$. So, we can suppose $u \in R$. If $F_g \neq \emptyset$, then equation $\theta_g(x) = x$ implies that $u \in (m)$ for every $m \in R^\times$. Since R is not a field, then $u = 0$. This show that $F_g = \emptyset$ if $g = (u, 1)$ and $u \neq 0$.

Case 2. Let $g = (u, w)$ such that $w \neq 1$ and $u \in R + (w)$ (if $u \notin R + (w)$, then $\hat{R}_{g^{-1}} = \emptyset$). Let V be a non-empty open set contained in $\hat{R}_{g^{-1}}$. We will show that there exists $x \in V$ such that $\theta_g(x) \neq x$. By shrinking V if necessary, we can suppose that $V = V_{w'}^{C_{w'}}$. Furthermore, we can assume that $C_{w'}$ has more than one element. Let $u_1 + (w')$ and $u_2 + (w')$ be distinct elements of $C_{w'}$, hence $u_1 - u_2 \notin (w')$. Suppose, by contradiction, $\theta_g(x) = x$ for all $x \in V$. Since $(u_i + (w''))_{w''} \in V$, $i = 1, 2$, then

$$\theta_{(u,w)}((u_i + (w''))_{w''}) = (u_i + (w''))_{w''} \implies (u + wu_i + (w''))_{w''} = (u_i + (w''))_{w''}.$$

By choosing $w'' = (w - 1)w'$ (note that $w \neq 1$), we see that $u + (w - 1)u_i \in ((w - 1)w')$, for $i = 1, 2$. By subtracting the equations (for different i 's), we have $(w - 1)(u_1 - u_2) \in ((w - 1)w')$ and, therefore $u_1 - u_2 \in (w')$; which is a contradiction! This show that F_g has empty interior. \square

Proposition 4.6. *The partial action θ is minimal.*

Proof. If R is a field, then the result is trivial. Now, suppose that R is not a field. We will prove that every $x \in \hat{R}_K$ has dense orbit (see section 2.2) by showing that if V is a non-empty open set, then there exists $g \in K \rtimes K^\times$ such that $x \in \hat{R}_{g^{-1}}$ and $\theta_g(x) \in V$. Let $x = (u_w + (w))_w \in \hat{R}_K$ and $V = V_{w'}^{C_{w'}}$ non-empty. Take $u' + (w') \in C_{w'}$ and observe that we can suppose, without loss of generality, $u' \in R$ and $u_{w'} \in R$. Let $g = (u' - u_{w'}, 1)$. By the proposition 4.4, $\hat{R}_{g^{-1}} = \hat{R}_K$ and, hence, $x \in \hat{R}_{g^{-1}}$. To finish, note that $\theta_g(x) = \theta_{(u' - u_{w'}, 1)}((u_w + (w))_w) = (u' - u_{w'} + u_w + (w))_w \in V$. \square

Following, we summarize the results of this section.

Theorem 4.7. *The algebra $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the partial crossed product $C(\hat{R}_K) \rtimes_\alpha K \rtimes K^\times$, where α is the partial action induced by θ . The $*$ -isomorphism is given by $u^n \mapsto 1\delta_{(n,1)}$ and $s_m \mapsto 1_{(0,m)}\delta_{(0,m)}$, where $1_{(0,m)}$ is the characteristic function of \hat{R}_g .*

Theorem 4.8. *$\mathfrak{A}[R]$ is simple.*

Proof. By the propositions 4.5 and 4.6, the reduced crossed product $C(\hat{R}_K) \rtimes_{\alpha,r} K \rtimes K^\times$ is simple. Since $K \rtimes K^\times$ is amenable, then $C(\hat{R}_K) \rtimes_\alpha K \rtimes K^\times \cong C(\hat{R}_K) \rtimes_{\alpha,r} K \rtimes K^\times$ and, therefore, $C(\hat{R}_K) \rtimes_\alpha K \rtimes K^\times$ is simple. The result follows from the previous theorem. \square

Corollary 4.9. *$\mathfrak{A}[R] \cong \mathfrak{A}_r[R]$.*

When $R = \mathbb{Z}$, we can restrict our partial action to the subgroup $\mathbb{Q} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}_+^*$ of $\mathbb{Q} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}^*$ and the corresponding partial crossed product is the algebra $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ introduced by Cuntz in [5] and realized as a partial crossed product in [3] by Brownlowe, an Huef, Laca and Raeburn.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arledge, M. Laca, I. Raeburn, *Semigroup crossed products and Hecke algebras arising from number fields*, Doc. Math. **2** (1997) 115–138.
- [2] J. B. Bost and A. Connes, *Hecke algebras, Type III Factors and Phase Transitions with Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking in Number Theory*, Selecta Math., New Series, Vol. 1, **3** (1995), 411–457.
- [3] N. Brownlowe, A. an Huef, M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *Boundary quotients of the Toeplitz algebra of the affine semigroup over the natural numbers*, arXiv:1009.3678, 2010.
- [4] P. B. Cohen, *A C^* -dynamical system with Dedekind zeta partition function and spontaneous symmetry breaking*, Journées Arithmétiques de Limoges, 1997.
- [5] J. Cuntz, *C^* -algebras associated with the $ax+b$ -semigroup over \mathbb{N}* , *K-Theory and Noncommutative Geometry* (Valladolid, 2006), European Math. Soc., 2008, 201–215.
- [6] J. Cuntz and X. Li, *The Regular C^* -algebra of an Integral Domain*, arXiv:0807.1407, accepted for publication in the proceedings of the conference in honour of A. Connes 60th birthday.
- [7] J. Cuntz and X. Li, *C^* -algebras associated with integral domains and crossed products by actions on adèle spaces*, J. Noncomm. Geom., to appear.
- [8] J. Cuntz and X. Li, *K-theory for ring C^* -algebras attached to function fields*, arXiv:0911.5023v1, 2009.
- [9] M. Dokuchaev and R. Exel, *Associativity of crossed products by partial actions, enveloping actions and partial representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **357** (2005) 1931–1952.
- [10] R. Exel, *Circle actions on C^* -algebras, partial automorphisms and a generalized Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence*, J. Funct. Analysis, **122** (1994), 361–401.
- [11] R. Exel, *Amenability for Fell bundles*, J. reine angew. Math. **492** (1997), 41–73.
- [12] R. Exel, *Partial actions of groups and actions of inverse semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **126** (1998), 3481–3494.
- [13] R. Exel, M. Laca and J. Quigg, *Partial dynamical systems and C^* -algebras generated by partial isometries*, J. Operator Theory **47** (2002), 169–186.
- [14] B. Julia, *Statistical theory of numbers*, Number Theory and Physics, Les Houches Winter School, J.-M. Luck, P. Moussa et M. Waldschmidt eds., Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [15] M. Laca, *Semigroups of $*$ -endomorphisms, Dirichlet series and phase transitions*, J. Funct. Anal. **152** (1998), 330–378.
- [16] M. Laca and M. van Frankenhuijsen, *Phase transitions on Hecke C^* -algebras and class-field theory over \mathbb{Q}* , J. reine angew. Math. **595** (2006), 25–53.
- [17] M. Laca, N. S. Larsen and S. Neshveyev, *On Bost-Connes type systems for number fields*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), no. 2, 325–338.
- [18] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *Semigroup crossed products and the Toeplitz algebras of nonabelian groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **139** (1996), 415–440.
- [19] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *A semigroup crossed product arising in number theory*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **59** (1999), 330–344.
- [20] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *The ideal structure of the Hecke C^* -algebra of Bost and Connes*, Math. Ann. **318** (2000), 433–451.
- [21] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *Phase transition on the Toeplitz algebra of the affine semigroup over the natural numbers*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), 643–688.
- [22] N. S. Larsen, X. Li, *Dilations of semigroup crossed products as crossed products of dilations*, 1009.5842v1, 2010.
- [23] X. Li, *Ring C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann., to appear.
- [24] A. Nica, *C^* -algebras generated by isometries and Wiener-Hopf operators*, J. Operator Theory **27** (1992), 17–52.
- [25] S. Yamashita, *Cuntz’s $ax + b$ -semigroup C^* -algebra over \mathbb{N} and product system C^* -algebras*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **24** (2009), 299–322.

GIULIANO BOAVA, INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA, 22460-320 - RIO DE JANEIRO/RJ, BRAZIL.

E-mail address: `gboava@gmail.com`

RUY EXEL, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA CATARINA, 88040-900 - FLORIANÓPOLIS/SC, BRAZIL.

E-mail address: `r@exel.com.br`